

antidumping duty order is not likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury.

### Scope of the Antidumping Findings and Antidumping Duty Order

The product covered by these determinations is titanium sponge. Titanium sponge is chiefly used for aerospace vehicles, specifically, in the construction of compressor blades and wheels, stator blades, rotors, and other parts in aircraft gas turbine engines. Imports of titanium sponge are currently classifiable under subheading 8108.10.50.10 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* ("HTSUS"). The HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and U.S. Customs purposes. Our written description of the scope of these antidumping findings and antidumping duty order remains dispositive.

### Determination

As a result of the determination by the Commission that revocation of these antidumping findings and antidumping duty order is not likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping, pursuant to section 751(d)(1) of the Act, the Department hereby revokes the antidumping findings on titanium sponge from Kazakhstan, Russia and Ukraine and the antidumping duty order on titanium sponge from Japan. The revocation is effective August 13, 1998, the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of the Commission's determination. The Department will instruct the Customs Service to liquidate without regard to dumping duties entries of the subject merchandise entered or withdrawn from warehouse on or after August 13, 1998 (the effective date), and to discontinue collection of cash deposits on entries of the subject merchandise as of the same date. For all entries of the subject merchandise entered or withdrawn from warehouse prior to the effective date of revocation (*i.e.*, through August 12, 1998), the Department shall determine, and the Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties at either (1) the rate determined in the context of ongoing administrative reviews of imports of titanium sponge from Kazakhstan and Russia during the period August 1, 1996 through July 31, 1997 [62 FR 50292, September 25, 1997], (2) the rate determined in the context of a review conducted in response to an appropriately filed request [August is the opportunity month for Kazakhstan, Russia, and Ukraine; November is the opportunity month for Japan], or (3) in the absence of a request for review, at the duty deposit rate in effect at the time

of entry. In addition, the Department is terminating the sunset reviews of these antidumping findings and antidumping duty order.

Dated: August 26, 1998.

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 98-23465 Filed 8-28-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

#### Texas A&M University; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC.

*Docket Number:* 98-022. *Applicant:* Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843-2474. *Instrument:* Robot, Model X8000. *Manufacturer:* Genetix Ltd., United Kingdom. *Intended Use:* See notice at 63 FR 25015, May 6, 1998.

*Comments:* None received. *Decision:* Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as it is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. *Reasons:* The foreign instrument provides a unique multi-tasking robot for selecting recombinant bacterial colonies containing DNA inserts from noninfectious sources based on routing blue/white selection at a rate of 3500 colonies per hour. The National Institutes of Health advises in its memorandum dated June 8, 1998 that (1) this capability is pertinent to the applicant's intended purpose and (2) it knows of no domestic instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument for the applicant's intended use.

We know of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument which is being manufactured in the United States.

**Frank W. Creel,**

*Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff.*

[FR Doc. 98-23382 Filed 8-28-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

#### Export Trade Certificate of Review

**ACTION:** Notice of Application to Amend Certificate.

**SUMMARY:** The Office of Export Trading Company Affairs ("OETCA"), International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, has received an application to amend an Export Trade Certificate of Review. This notice summarizes the proposed amendment and requests comments relevant to whether the amended Certificate should be issued.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Morton Schnabel, Director, Office of Export Trading Company Affairs, International Trade Administration, (202) 482-5131. This is not a toll-free number.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Title III of the Export Trading Company Act of 1982 (15 U.S.C. 4001-21) authorizes the Secretary of Commerce to issue Export Trade Certificates of Review. A Certificate of Review protects the holder and the members identified in the Certificate from state and federal government antitrust actions and from private, treble damage antitrust actions for the export conduct specified in the Certificate and carried out in compliance with its terms and conditions. Section 302(b)(1) of the Act and 15 CFR 325.6(a) require the Secretary to publish a notice in the **Federal Register** identifying the applicant and summarizing its proposed export conduct.

#### Request for Public Comments

Interested parties may submit written comments relevant to the determination whether an amended Certificate should be issued. If the comments include any privileged or confidential business information, it must be clearly marked and a nonconfidential version of the comments (identified as such) should be included. Any comments not marked privileged or confidential business information will be deemed to be nonconfidential. An original and five copies, plus two copies of the nonconfidential version, should be submitted no later than 20 days after the date of this notice to: Office of Export Trading Company Affairs, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, Room 1800H, Washington, DC 20230. Information submitted by any person is exempt from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552). However, nonconfidential